

EXHIBIT 6

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK: COMMERCIAL DIVISION**

CAMELOT EVENT DRIVEN FUND, A SERIES OF
FRANK FUNDS TRUST, Individually and on Behalf
of All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

-against-

MORGAN STANLEY & CO. LLC, J.P. MORGAN
SECURITIES, LLC, CITIGROUP GLOBAL
MARKETS INC., GOLDMAN SACHS & CO. LLC,
MIZUHO SECURITIES USA LLC, SIEBERT
WILLIAMS SHANK & CO., LLC, BNP PARIBAS
SECURITIES CORP., RBC CAPITAL MARKETS,
LLC, U.S. BANCORP INVESTMENTS, INC.,
SMBC NIKKO SECURITIES AMERICA, INC.,
TD SECURITIES (USA) LLC, SG AMERICAS
SECURITIES, LLC, MUFG SECURITIES
AMERICAS INC., CASTLEOAK SECURITIES,
L.P., SAMUEL A. RAMIREZ & COMPANY,
INC., ACADEMY SECURITIES, INC.,
R. SEELAUS & CO., LLC, WELLS FARGO
SECURITIES, LLC, BNY MELLON CAPITAL
MARKETS, LLC, INTESA SANPAOLO S.P.A.,
ICBC STANDARD BANK PLC, VIACOMCBS,
INC., ROBERT M. BAKISH, KATHERINE GILL-
CHAREST, SHARI E. REDSTONE, CANDACE K.
BEINECKE, BARBARA M. BYRNE, LINDA M.
GRIEGO, ROBERT N. KLIEGER, JUDITH A.
MCHALE, RONALD L. NELSON, CHARLES E.
PHILLIPS, JR., SUSAN SCHUMAN, NICOLE
SELIGMAN, and FREDERICK O. TERRELL,

Defendants.

Index No. 654959/2021

Justice Andrew Borrok

Part 53

**AFFIRMATION OF DANIELLA QUITT IN SUPPORT OF CLASS COUNSEL'S
MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND LITIGATION EXPENSES, FILED
ON BEHALF OF GLANCY PRONGAY & MURRAY LLP**

I, DANIELLA QUITT, hereby affirm as follows:

1. I am a member of the law firm of Glancy Prongay & Murray LLP (“GPM” or the “Firm”). I submit this affirmation in support of Class Counsel’s motion for an award of attorneys’ fees in connection with services rendered in the above-captioned action (the “Action”), as well as for payment of expenses incurred by my Firm in connection with the Action. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated in this affirmation and, if called upon, could and would testify to these facts.¹

2. My Firm serves as counsel for Camelot Event Driven Fund, A Series of Frank Funds Trust (“Camelot”) and Class Counsel for the Class in this Action. Camelot filed the first complaint in this Action nearly four years ago.

3. My Firm was involved in all aspects of the prosecution and resolution of the Action, as set forth in the Joint Affirmation of Daniella Quitt and John Rizio-Hamilton in Support of: (I) Plaintiffs’ Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Plan of Allocation; and (II) Class Counsel’s Motion for an Award of Attorneys’ Fees and Litigation Expenses, filed herewith.

4. The schedule attached hereto as Exhibit 1 is a summary indicating the amount of time spent by each GPM attorney and professional support staff employee involved in this Action who devoted ten (10) or more hours to the Action from its inception through and including March 27, 2025 (the date the Stipulation of Settlement was executed), and the lodestar calculation for those individuals. The lodestar calculation for those individuals in Exhibit 1 is based on my Firm’s current hourly rates, which are set in accordance with paragraph 8 below. For personnel who are no longer employed by my Firm, the lodestar calculation is based upon the hourly rates for such

¹ All capitalized terms that are not defined in this Affidavit have the meanings set forth in the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement dated March 27, 2025 (NYSCEF Doc. No. 1599).

personnel in his or her final year of employment by my Firm. The schedule was prepared from contemporaneous daily time records regularly prepared and maintained by my Firm.

5. Attorneys at GPM carefully reviewed these time and expense records before preparing this affirmation. The purpose of this review was to confirm both the accuracy of the time entries and expenses and the necessity for, and reasonableness of, the time and expenses committed to the litigation. As a result of this review, reductions were made in the exercise of counsel's judgment. In addition, all time expended in preparing this application for fees and expenses has been excluded.

6. Following this review and the adjustments made, I believe that the time reflected in the Firm's lodestar calculation and the expenses for which reimbursement is sought are reasonable in amount, and were necessary for the effective and efficient prosecution and resolution of the litigation.

7. The hourly rates for the GPM attorneys and professional support staff employees included in Exhibit 1 are the same as, or comparable to, the rates submitted by my Firm and accepted by courts for lodestar cross-checks in other securities class action or other complex litigation fee applications.

8. My Firm's rates are set yearly, based on an analysis of billing rates by law firms performing comparable work and that have been approved by courts. Different timekeepers within the same employment category (*e.g.*, partners, associates, paralegals, *etc.*) may have different rates based on a variety of factors, including years of practice, years at the Firm, year in their current position (*e.g.*, years as a partner), relevant experience, relative expertise, and the rates of similarly experienced peers at our Firm or other law firms.

9. The total number of hours expended on this Action by my Firm from its inception through and including March 27, 2025, is 31,266.76 hours. The total lodestar for my Firm for that period is \$24,740,645. My Firm's lodestar figures are based upon the Firm's hourly rates, which do not include costs for expense items.

10. With respect to the standing of my Firm, attached hereto as Exhibit 3 is a biography of my Firm and the attorneys currently employed by the Firm and involved in this matter.

11. As detailed in Exhibit 2, my Firm is seeking payment for a total of \$914,504.67 in expenses incurred in connection with the prosecution of this Action from its inception.

12. The expenses incurred in this Action are reflected in the records of my Firm, which are regularly prepared and maintained in the ordinary course of business. These records are prepared from expense vouchers, check records, and other source materials and are an accurate record of the expenses incurred.

13. As reflected in Exhibit 2, the largest line item on the schedule of expenses is for contributions to the litigation fund. Both Class Counsel contributed to the litigation fund, which was used primarily to pay for experts and consultants, as well as depositions and court reporting. Payments from the litigation fund are detailed in Exhibit 4.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing facts are true and correct.

Executed on July 1, 2025


Daniella Quitt

EXHIBIT 1

Camelot Event Driven Fund v. Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC, et al.,
Index No. 654959/2021

GLANCY PRONGAY & MURRAY LLP**TIME REPORT**

From Inception Through March 27, 2025

TIME KEEPER	STATUS	HOURS	RATE	LODESTAR
ATTORNEYS:				
Robert Prongay	Partner	1,612.80	1,100.00	\$1,774,080.00
Kara Wolke	Partner	555.70	1,100.00	\$611,270.00
Kevin F. Ruf	Partner	730.00	1,300.00	\$949,000.00
Daniella Quitt	Partner	3,624.14	1,225.00	\$4,439,571.50
Christopher Fallon	Partner	2,932.80	1,100.00	\$3,226,080.00
Raymond Sulentic	Partner	741.70	895.00	\$663,821.50
Pavithra Rajesh	Partner	879.60	875.00	\$769,650.00
Christopher Thoms	Senior Counsel	3,044.60	900.00	\$2,740,140.00
Chase Stern	Senior Associate	518.70	650.00	\$337,155.00
Lisa Holman	Associate	3,349.95	750.00	\$2,512,462.50
Christopher Del Valle	Associate	1,493.00	725.00	\$1,082,425.00
Fernanda D. Galbes	Associate	2,835.80	675.00	\$1,914,165.00
Sandra Burkhardt	Senior Staff Attorney	1,471.20	450.00	\$662,040.00
Diarra Porter	Staff Attorney	3,413.60	425.00	\$1,450,780.00
Brittany D. Owens	Staff Attorney	2,671.60	425.00	\$1,135,430.00
TOTAL ATTORNEY	TOTAL	29,875.19		\$24,268,070.50
PARALEGALS:				
Craig Lowther	Senior Paralegal	1,151.97	350.00	\$403,189.50
Gladys Carmoega	Paralegal	11.70	200.00	\$2,340.00
Michaela Ligman	Research Analyst	57.30	400.00	\$22,920.00
Gabrielle Zavaleta	Research Analyst	13.10	375.00	\$4,912.50
John D. Belanger	Research Analyst	72.50	365.00	\$26,462.50
Karla Vazquez	Admin Clerk	85.00	150.00	\$12,750.00
TOTAL PARALEGAL	TOTAL	1,391.57		\$472,574.50
TOTAL LODESTAR	TOTAL	31,266.76		\$24,740,645.00

EXHIBIT 2

Camelot Event Driven Fund v. Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC, et al.,
Index No. 654959/2021

GLANCY PRONGAY & MURRAY LLP**EXPENSE REPORT**

CATEGORY OF EXPENSE	AMOUNT
COURIER AND SPECIAL POSTAGE	\$3,427.64
COURT FILING FEES	\$974.12
DOCUMENT MANAGEMENT	\$11,208.49
LITIGATION FUNDS	\$648,092.70
ONLINE RESEARCH	\$64,577.87
PHOTOIMAGING	\$22,750.14
SERVICE OF PROCESS	\$5,461.41
TELEPHONE	\$347.83
TRANSCRIPTS	\$4,376.34
TRAVEL AIRFARE	\$58,557.17
TRAVEL AUTO	\$16,303.21
TRAVEL HOTEL	\$68,443.62
TRAVEL MEALS	\$8,047.96
TRAVEL PARKING	\$1,936.17
Grand Total	\$914,504.67

EXHIBIT 3

Camelot Event Driven Fund v. Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC, et al.,
Index No. 654959/2021

GLANCY PRONGAY & MURRAY LLP

FIRM RESUME



Los Angeles | New York | San Diego

Firm Resume

Glancy Prongay & Murray LLP (the “Firm”) has represented investors, consumers and employees for over 35 years. Based in Los Angeles, with offices in New York City and San Diego, the Firm has successfully prosecuted class action cases and complex litigation in federal and state courts throughout the country. As Lead Counsel, Co-Lead Counsel, or as a member of Plaintiffs’ Counsel Executive Committees, the Firm’s attorneys have recovered billions of dollars for parties wronged by corporate fraud, antitrust violations and malfeasance. RiskMetrics Group’s Institutional Shareholder Services unit has recognized the Firm as one of the top plaintiffs’ law firms in the United States in its Securities Class Action Services report for every year since the inception of the report in 2003. The Firm’s efforts have been publicized in major newspapers such as the *Wall Street Journal*, the *New York Times*, and the *Los Angeles Times*.

Glancy Prongay & Murray’s commitment to high quality and excellent personalized services has boosted its national reputation, and we are now recognized as one of the premier plaintiffs’ firms in the country. The Firm works tenaciously on behalf of clients to produce significant results and generate lasting corporate reform.

The Firm’s integrity and success originate from its attorneys, who are among the brightest and most experienced in the field. The Firm’s distinguished litigators have an unparalleled track record of investigating and prosecuting corporate wrongdoing. The Firm is respected for both the zealous advocacy with which we represent our clients’ interests as well as the highly-professional and ethical manner by which we achieve results. We are ideally positioned to pursue securities, antitrust, consumer, and derivative litigation on behalf of our clients. The Firm’s outstanding accomplishments are the direct result of the exceptional talents of our attorneys and employees.

SECURITIES CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENTS

Appointed as Lead or Co-Lead Counsel by judges throughout the United States, Glancy Prongay & Murray has achieved significant recoveries for class members in numerous securities class actions, including:

In re Alibaba Group Holding Ltd. Securities Litigation, USDC Southern District of New York, Case No. 1:20-cv-09568-GBD-JW, in which the Firm served as Lead Counsel and achieved a \$433.5 million settlement. The settlement is the largest securities class action settlement ever attained against a Chinese issuer and ranks among the fifty largest U.S. securities class action settlements of all time, as tracked by Institutional Shareholder Services (ISS).

In re Mercury Interactive Corporation Securities Litigation, USDC Northern District of California, Case No. 05-3395-JF, in which the Firm served as Co-Lead Counsel and achieved a settlement valued at over \$117 million.

In re Real Estate Associates Limited Partnership Litigation, USDC Central District of California, Case No. 98-7035-DDP, in which the Firm served as local counsel and plaintiffs achieved a \$184 million jury verdict after a complex six week trial in Los Angeles, California and later settled the case for \$83 million.

In re Yahoo! Inc. Securities Litigation, USDC Northern District of California, Case No. 5:17-cv-00373-LHK, in which the Firm served as Co-Lead Counsel and achieved an \$80 million settlement.

The City of Farmington Hills Employees Retirement System v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., USDC District of Minnesota, Case No. 10-cv-04372-DWF/JJG, in which the Firm served as Co-Lead Counsel and achieved a settlement valued at \$62.5 million.

Shah v. Zimmer Biomet Holdings, Inc., USDC Northern District of Indiana, Case No. 3:16-cv-815-PPS-MGG, a securities fraud class action in which the Firm served as Lead Counsel for the Class and achieved a settlement of \$50 million.

Schleicher v. Wendt, (Conseco Securities Litigation), USDC Southern District of Indiana, Case No. 02-1332-SEB, a securities fraud class action in which the Firm served as Lead Counsel for the Class and achieved a settlement of over \$41 million.

Robb v. Fitbit, Inc., USDC Northern District of California, Case No. 3:16-cv-00151, a securities fraud class action in which the Firm served as Lead Counsel for the Class and achieved a settlement of \$33 million.

Yaldo v. Airtouch Communications, State of Michigan, Wayne County, Case No. 99-909694-CP, in which the Firm served as Co-Lead Counsel and achieved a settlement valued at over \$32 million for defrauded consumers.

Lapin v. Goldman Sachs, USDC Southern District of New York, Case No. 03-0850-KJD, a securities fraud class action in which the Firm served as Co-Lead Counsel for the Class and achieved a settlement of \$29 million.

In re Heritage Bond Litigation, USDC Central District of California, Case No. 02-ML-1475-DT, where as Co-Lead Counsel, the Firm recovered in excess of \$28 million for defrauded investors.

In re Livent, Inc. Noteholders Litigation, USDC Southern District of New York, Case No. 99 Civ 9425-VM, a securities fraud class action in which the Firm served as Co-Lead Counsel for the Class and achieved a settlement of over \$27 million.

Mild v. PPG Industries, Inc., USDC Central District of California, Case No. 18-cv-04231, a securities fraud class action in which the Firm served as Lead Counsel for the Class and achieved a settlement of \$25 million.

Davis v. Yelp, Inc., USDC Northern District of California, Case No. 18-cv-0400, a securities fraud class action in which the Firm served as Co-Lead Counsel for the Class and achieved a settlement of \$22.5 million.

In re ECI Telecom Ltd. Securities Litigation, USDC Eastern District of Virginia, Case No. 01-913-A, in which the Firm served as sole Lead Counsel and recovered almost \$22 million for defrauded ECI investors.

In re Sesen Bio, Inc. Securities Litigation, USDC Southern District of New York, Case No. 21-cv-07025, a securities fraud class action, in which the Firm served as Lead Counsel for the Class and achieved a settlement of \$21 million.

In re Flowers Foods, Inc. Securities Litigation, USDC Middle District of Georgia, Case No. 7:16-cv-00222, a securities fraud class action, in which the Firm served as Co-Lead Counsel for the Class and achieved a settlement of \$21 million.

Senn v. Sealed Air Corporation, USDC New Jersey, Case No. 03-cv-4372-DMC, a securities fraud class action, in which the Firm acted as Co-Lead Counsel for the Class and achieved a settlement of \$20 million.

In re Gilat Satellite Networks, Ltd. Securities Litigation, USDC Eastern District of New York, Case No. 02-1510-CPS, a securities fraud class action in which the Firm served as Co-Lead Counsel for the Class and achieved a settlement of \$20 million.

In re Lumenis, Ltd. Securities Litigation, USDC Southern District of New York, Case No. 02-CV-1989-DAB, in which the Firm served as Co-Lead Counsel and achieved a settlement valued at over \$20 million.

In re Penn West Petroleum, Ltd. Securities Litigation, USDC Southern District of New York, Case No. 14-cv-06046-JGK, a securities fraud class action in which the Firm achieved a \$19 million settlement for the U.S. shareholder class as part of a \$39 million global settlement.

Wilson v. LSB Industries, Inc., USDC Southern District of New York, Case No. 15-cv-07614, a securities fraud class action in which the Firm served as Lead Counsel for the Class and achieved a settlement of \$18.45 million.

In re Infonet Services Corporation Securities Litigation, USDC Central District of California, Case No. CV 01-10456-NM, in which as Co-Lead Counsel, the Firm achieved a settlement of \$18 million.

Pierrelouis v. Gogo Inc., USDC Northern District of Illinois, Case No. 18-cv-04473, a securities fraud class action in which the Firm served as Co-Lead Counsel for the Class and achieved a settlement of \$17.3 million.

In re ESC Medical Systems, Ltd. Securities Litigation, USDC Southern District of New York, Case No. 98 Civ. 7530-NRB, a securities fraud class action in which the Firm served as sole Lead Counsel for the Class and achieved a settlement valued in excess of \$17 million.

Macovski v. Groupon, Inc., USDC Northern District of Illinois, Case No. 20-cv-02581, a securities fraud class action in which the Firm served as Co-Lead Counsel for the Class and achieved a settlement of \$13.5 million.

In re Musicmaker.com Securities Litigation, USDC Central District of California, Case No. 00-02018-CAS, a securities fraud class action in which the Firm was sole Lead Counsel for the Class and recovered in excess of \$13 million.

In re Lason, Inc. Securities Litigation, USDC Eastern District of Michigan, Case No. 99 76079-AJT, in which the Firm was Co-Lead Counsel and recovered almost \$13 million for defrauded Lason stockholders.

In re Inso Corp. Securities Litigation, USDC District of Massachusetts, Case No. 99 10193-WGY, a securities fraud class action in which the Firm served as Co-Lead Counsel for the Class and achieved a settlement valued in excess of \$12 million.

In re National TechTeam Securities Litigation, USDC Eastern District of Michigan, Case No. 97-74587-AC, a securities fraud class action in which the Firm served as Co-Lead Counsel for the Class and achieved a settlement valued in excess of \$11 million.

Taft v. Ackermans (KPNQwest Securities Litigation), USDC Southern District of New York, Case No. 02-CV-07951-PKL, a securities fraud class action in which the Firm served as Co-Lead Counsel for the Class and achieved a settlement worth \$11 million.

Derr v. RA Medical Systems, Inc., USDC Southern District of California, Case No. 19-cv-01079, a securities fraud class action in which the Firm served as Lead Counsel for the Class and achieved a settlement of \$10 million.

ANTITRUST PRACTICE GROUP AND ACHIEVEMENTS

Glancy Prongay & Murray's Antitrust Practice Group focuses on representing individuals and entities that have been victimized by unlawful monopolization, price-fixing, market allocation, and other anti-competitive conduct. The Firm has prosecuted significant antitrust cases and has helped public health and welfare funds, individuals, and businesses recover billions of dollars in damages as well as injunctive relief for violations of antitrust and commodities laws throughout the country. The Firm has served, or is currently serving, as Lead Counsel, Co-Lead Counsel or Class Counsel in a substantial number of antitrust class actions, including:

In re Nasdaq Market-Makers Antitrust Litigation, USDC Southern District of New York, Case No. 94 C 3996-RWS, MDL Docket No. 1023, a landmark antitrust lawsuit in which the Firm filed the first complaint against all of the major NASDAQ market makers and served on Plaintiffs' Counsel's Executive Committee in a case that recovered \$900 million for investors.

Sullivan v. DB Investments, USDC District of New Jersey, Case No. No. 04-cv-2819, where the Firm served as Co-Lead Settlement Counsel in an antitrust case against DeBeers relate to the pricing of diamonds that settled for \$295 million.

In re Korean Air Lines Antitrust Litig., USDC Central District of California, Master File No. CV 07-05107 SJO(AGRx), MDL No. 07-0189, where the Firm served as Co-Lead Counsel in a case related to fixing of prices for airline tickets to Korea that settled for \$86 million.

In re Zetia Antitrust Litigation, USDC Eastern District of Virginia, Case No. 2:18-md-02836, where the Firm, representing a major health and welfare fund, played a significant role in achieving a settlement of \$70 million.

In re Aggrenox Antitrust Litig., USDC District of Connecticut, Case No. 14-cv-2516, where the Firm played a major role in achieving a settlement of \$54 million.

In re Solodyn Antitrust Litig., USDC District of Massachusetts, Case No. MDL 2503, where the Firm played a major role in achieving a settlement of \$43 million.

In re Urethane Chemical Antitrust Litig., USDC District of Kansas, Case No. MDL 1616, where the Firm served as Co-Lead counsel in an antitrust price fixing case that settled \$33 million.

In re Western States Wholesale Natural Gas Litig., USDC District of Nevada, Case No. MDL 1566, where the Firm served as Class Counsel in an antitrust price fixing case that settled \$25 million.

In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litig., USDC Eastern District of Pennsylvania, Case No. 16-md-2427, where the Firm is representing a major Health and Welfare Fund in a case against a number of generic drug manufacturers for price fixing generic drugs.

In re Actos End Payor Antitrust Litig., USDC Southern District of New York, Case No. 13-cv-9244, where the Firm is serving on Plaintiffs' Executive Committee.

In re Heating Control Panel Direct Purchaser Action, USDC Eastern District of Michigan, Case No. 12-md-02311, representing a recreational vehicle manufacturer in a price-fixing class action involving direct purchasers of heating control panels.

In re Instrument Panel Clusters Direct Purchaser Action, USDC Eastern District of Michigan, Case No. 12-md-02311, representing a recreational vehicle manufacturer in a price-fixing class action involving direct purchasers of instrument panel clusters.

In addition, the Firm is currently involved in the prosecution of many market manipulation cases relating to violations of antitrust and commodities laws, including *Sullivan v. Barclays PLC* (manipulation of Euribor rate), *In re Foreign Exchange Benchmark Rates Antitrust Litig.*, *In re LIBOR-Based Financial Instruments Antitrust Litig.*, *In re Gold Futures & Options Trading Litig.*, *In re Platinum & Palladium Antitrust Litig.*, *Sonterra Cap. Master Fund v. Credit Suisse Group AG* (Swiss Libor rate manipulation), *Twin City Iron Pension Fund v. Bank of Nova Scotia* (manipulation of treasury securities), and *Ploss v. Kraft Foods Group* (manipulation of wheat prices).

Glancy Prongay & Murray has been responsible for obtaining favorable appellate opinions which have broken new ground in the class action or securities fields, or which have promoted shareholder rights in prosecuting these actions. The Firm successfully argued the appeals in a number of cases:

In *Smith v. L'Oreal*, 39 Cal.4th 77 (2006), Firm partner Kevin Ruf established ground-breaking law when the California Supreme Court agreed with the Firm's position that waiting penalties under the California Labor Code are available to *any* employee after termination of employment, regardless of the reason for that termination.

OTHER NOTABLE ACHIEVEMENTS

Spearheaded by Firm attorney Kevin Ruf, the Firm served as Co-Lead Counsel for a class of drivers misclassified as independent contractors in the landmark case *Lee v. Dynamex*, Case No. BC332016 (Super. Ct. of Cal), which made new law for workers' rights in the California Supreme Court. The *Dynamex* decision altered 30 years of California law and established a new definition of employment that brings more workers within the protections of California's Labor Code. The California legislature, in response to the *Dynamex* decision, promulgated AB5, a statute that codifies the law of the *Dynamex* case and expands its reach.

Headed by Firm attorney Kara Wolke, the Firm served as additional plaintiffs' counsel in *Christine Asia Co. Ltd., et al. v. Jack Yun Ma et al. ("Alibaba")*, 1:15-md-02631 (SDNY), a securities class action on behalf of investors alleging violations of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 in connection with Alibaba's historic \$25 billion IPO, the then-largest IPO in history. After hard-fought litigation, including a successful appeal to the Second Circuit and obtaining class certification, the case settled for \$250 million.

Other notable Firm cases include: *Silber v. Mabon I*, 957 F.2d 697 (9th Cir. 1992) and *Silber v. Mabon II*, 18 F.3d 1449 (9th Cir. 1994), which are the leading decisions in the Ninth Circuit regarding the rights of opt-outs in class action settlements. In *Rothman v. Gregor*, 220 F.3d 81 (2d Cir. 2000), the Firm won a seminal victory for investors before the Second Circuit Court of Appeals, which adopted a more favorable pleading standard for investors in reversing the District Court's dismissal of the investors' complaint. After this successful appeal, the Firm then recovered millions of dollars for defrauded investors of the GT Interactive Corporation. The Firm also argued *Falkowski v. Imation Corp.*, 309 F.3d 1123 (9th Cir. 2002), *as amended*, 320 F.3d 905 (9th Cir. 2003), and favorably obtained the substantial reversal of a lower court's dismissal of a cutting edge, complex class action initiated to seek redress for a group of employees whose stock options were improperly forfeited by a giant corporation in the course of its sale of the subsidiary at which they worked.

The Firm also has been involved in the representation of individual investors in court proceedings throughout the United States and in arbitrations before the American Arbitration Association, National Association of Securities Dealers, New York Stock Exchange, and Pacific Stock Exchange. The Firm's founder, Lionel Glancy, has successfully represented litigants in proceedings against such major securities firms and insurance companies as A.G. Edwards & Sons, Bear Stearns, Merrill Lynch & Co., Morgan Stanley, PaineWebber, Prudential, and Shearson Lehman Brothers.

One of the Firm's unique skills is the use of "group litigation" - the representation of groups of individuals who have been collectively victimized or defrauded by large institutions. This type of litigation brought on behalf of individuals who have been similarly damaged often provides an efficient and effective economic remedy that frequently has advantages over the class action or individual action devices. The Firm has successfully achieved results for groups of individuals in cases against major corporations such as Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, and Occidental Petroleum Corporation.

Glancy Prongay & Murray LLP currently consists of the following attorneys:

PARTNERS

LEE ALBERT has over fifteen years of trial experience in both jury and non-jury cases and arbitrations and has argued before the Supreme and Superior Courts of Pennsylvania on numerous occasions. Mr. Albert has represented a national health care provider at trial obtaining injunctive relief in federal court to enforce a five-year contract not to compete on behalf of a national health care provider and injunctive relief on behalf of an undergraduate university.

Currently, Mr. Albert represents clients in complex litigation matters including violations of federal and state antitrust and securities laws, mass tort/product liability and unfair and deceptive trade practices. Some of Mr. Albert's current major cases include *In Re Automotive Wire Harness Systems Antitrust Litigation* (E.D. Mich.); *In Re Heater Control Panels Antitrust Litigation* (E.D. Mich.); *Kleen Products, et al. v. Packaging Corp. of America* (N.D. Ill.); and *In re Class 8 Transmission Indirect Purchaser Antitrust Litigation* (D. Del.).

Previously, Mr. Albert had a significant role in the following cases: *In re Zetia Antitrust Litigation*, No. 18-md-2836 (E.D. Va.) (\$70 million settlement); *Marine Products Antitrust Litigation* (C.D. Cal.); *Baby Products Antitrust Litigation* (E.D. Pa.); *In re ATM Fee Litigation* (N.D. Cal.); *In re Canadian Car Antitrust Litigation* (D. Me.); *In re Broadcom Securities Litigation* (C.D. Cal.); and has worked on *In re Avandia Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation* (E.D. Pa.); *In re Ortho Evra Birth Control Patch Litigation* (N.J. Super. Ct.); *In re AOL Time Warner, Inc. Securities Litigation* (S.D.N.Y.); *In re WorldCom, Inc. Securities Litigation* (S.D.N.Y.); and *In re Microsoft Corporation Massachusetts Consumer Protection Litigation* (Mass. Super. Ct.).

Mr. Albert received his B.S. and M.S. degrees from Temple University and Arcadia University in 1975 and 1980, respectively, and received his J.D. degree from Widener University School of Law in 1986. He is admitted to the bars of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, the State of New Jersey, and the United States District Courts for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and the District of New Jersey in 1986. After law school, Mr. Albert spent several years working as a civil litigator in Philadelphia, PA.

BRIAN D. BROOKS joined the Firm in 2019, specializing in antitrust, consumer, and securities litigation. Mr. Brooks played a significant role in the following matters: *In re Zetia Antitrust Litigation*, No. 18-md-2836 (E.D. Va.) (\$70 million settlement); *Staley, et al. v. Gilead Sciences, Inc., et al.*, No. 3:19-cv-02573-EMC (N.D. Cal.); *In re: Seroquel XR (Extended Release Quetiapine Fumarate) Litigation*, No. 1:19-cv-08296-CM (S.D.N.Y.); *In re: Suboxone (Buprenorphine Hydrochloride and Naloxone) Antitrust Litigation*, No. 2:13-md-02445 (E.D. Pa.); *In re: Niaspan Antitrust Litigation*, No. 2:13-md-02460 (E.D. Pa.); *In re: Novartis & Par Antitrust Litigation (Exforge)*, No. 18-cv-4361 (S.D.N.Y.); *In re: Nexium (Esomeprazole) Antitrust Litigation*, No. 12-md-2409 (D. Mass.); *King Drug Company of Florence, Inc. et al. v. Cephalon, Inc., et al. (Provigil)*, No. 2:06-cv-1797 (E.D. Pa.); *In re: Prograf Antitrust Litigation*, No. 1:11-md-2242 (D. Mass.); *In re: Miralax Antitrust Litigation*, No. 07-cv-142 (D. Del.); *In re: Relafen Antitrust Litigation*, No. 01-cv-12239 (D. Mass.); *In re: Buspirone Antitrust Litigation*, MDL Dkt. No. 1410 (S.D.N.Y.).

Mr. Brooks received his B.A. from Northwestern State University of Louisiana in 1998 and his J.D. from Washington and Lee School of Law in 2002, where he was a staff writer for the *Environmental Law Digest* and clerked for the Alderson Legal Assistance Program, handling legal

matters for inmates of the Federal Detention Center in Alderson, West Virginia. Prior to law school Mr. Brooks served six years as an enlisted member of the Louisiana Army National Guard.

Mr. Brooks is admitted to practice in all state courts in New York and Louisiana, as well as the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York and the Eastern and Western Districts of Louisiana.

JOSEPH D. COHEN has extensive complex civil litigation experience, and currently oversees the firm's settlement department, negotiating, documenting and obtaining court approval of the firm's securities, merger and derivative settlements.

Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Cohen successfully prosecuted numerous securities fraud, consumer fraud, antitrust and constitutional law cases in federal and state courts throughout the country. Cases in which Mr. Cohen took a lead role include: *Jordan v. California Dep't of Motor Vehicles*, 100 Cal. App. 4th 431 (2002) (complex action in which the California Court of Appeal held that California's Non-Resident Vehicle \$300 Smog Impact Fee violated the Commerce Clause of the United States Constitution, paving the way for the creation of a \$665 million fund and full refunds, with interest, to 1.7 million motorists); *In re Geodyne Res., Inc. Sec. Litig.* (Harris Cty. Tex.) (settlement of securities fraud class action, including related litigation, totaling over \$200 million); *In re Cmty. Psychiatric Centers Sec. Litig.* (C.D. Cal.) (settlement of \$55.5 million was obtained from the company and its auditors, Ernst & Young, LLP); *In re McLeodUSA Inc., Sec. Litig.* (N.D. Iowa) (\$30 million settlement); *In re Arakis Energy Corp. Sec. Litig.* (E.D.N.Y.) (\$24 million settlement); *In re Metris Cos., Inc., Sec. Litig.* (D. Minn.) (\$7.5 million settlement); and *Freedman v. Maspeth Fed. Loan and Savings Ass'n*, (E.D.N.Y.) (favorable resolution of issue of first impression under RESPA resulting in full recovery of improperly assessed late fees).

In addition, Mr. Cohen was previously the head of the settlement department at Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann LLP. While at BLB&G, Mr. Cohen had primary responsibility for overseeing the team working on the following settlements, among others: *In Re Merck & Co., Inc. Sec., Deriv. & "ERISA" Litig.* (D.N.J.) (\$1.062 billion securities class action settlement); *New York State Teachers' Ret. Sys. v. General Motors Co.* (E.D. Mich.) (\$300 million securities class action settlement); *In re JPMorgan Chase & Co. Sec. Litig.* (S.D.N.Y.) (\$150 million settlement); *Dep't of the Treasury of the State of New Jersey and its Division of Inv. v. Cliffs Natural Res. Inc., et al.* (N.D. Ohio) (\$84 million securities class action settlement); *In re Penn West Petroleum Ltd. Sec. Litig.* (S.D.N.Y.) (\$19.76 million settlement).

CHRISTOPHER FALLON focuses on securities, consumer, and antitrust litigation. As a Certified E-Discovery Specialist through the Association of Certified E-Discovery Specialists (ACEDS), Mr. Fallon manages all aspects of the fact and expert discovery stages of litigation.

Mr. Fallon earned his J.D. and a Certificate in Dispute Resolution from Pepperdine Law School in 2004. While attending law school, Christopher worked at the Pepperdine Special Education Advocacy Clinic and interned with the Rhode Island Office of the Attorney General. Prior to attending law school, he graduated from Boston College with a Bachelor of Arts in Economics and a minor in Irish Studies, then served as Deputy Campaign Finance Director on a U.S. Senate campaign.

LIONEL Z. GLANCY, a graduate of University of Michigan Law School, is the founding partner of the Firm. After serving as a law clerk for United States District Judge Howard McKibben, he began his career as an associate at a New York law firm concentrating in securities litigation. Thereafter, he started a boutique law firm specializing in securities litigation, and other

complex litigation, from the Plaintiff's perspective. Mr. Glancy has established a distinguished career in the field of securities litigation over the last thirty years, having appeared and been appointed lead counsel on behalf of aggrieved investors in securities class action cases throughout the country. He has appeared and argued before dozens of district courts and a number of appellate courts. His efforts have resulted in the recovery of hundreds of millions of dollars in settlement proceeds for huge classes of shareholders. Well known in securities law, he has lectured on its developments and practice, including having lectured before Continuing Legal Education seminars and law schools.

Mr. Glancy earned his undergraduate degree in political science in 1984 and his Juris Doctor degree in 1986, both from the University of Michigan. He was admitted to practice in California in 1988, and in Nevada and before the U.S. Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit, in 1989.

MARC L. GODINO manages the Firm's consumer class action department and has successfully prosecuted securities, derivative, merger & acquisition, and consumer cases throughout the country in both state and federal court.

Recently, Mr. Godino obtained a jury verdict against American Honda Motor Company, Inc. of over \$1,000,000 on behalf of an Illinois class of Honda car owners regarding a defective engine component. *Quackenbush et al. v. American Honda Motor Company, Inc. et al.*, Case No. 3:20-cv-05599-WHA. Subsequently, Mr. Godino defeated Honda's attempt to reverse the verdict in the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals. *Quackenbush et al. v. American Honda Motor Company, Inc. et al.*, 2025 WL 1009273 (9th Cir. April 4, 2025).

Mr. Godino's other successes with the Firm include: *Good Morning To You Productions Corp., et al., v. Warner/Chappell Music, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 13-04460 (C.D. Cal.) (In this highly publicized case that attracted world-wide attention, Plaintiffs prevailed on their claim that the song "Happy Birthday" should be in the public domain and achieved a \$14,000,000 settlement to class members who paid a licensing fee for the song); *Pappas v. Naked Juice Co. of Glendora, Inc.*, Case No. 11-08276 (C.D. Cal.) (\$9,000,000 settlement plus injunctive relief); *Astiana v. Kashi Company*, Case No. 11-1967 (S.D. Cal.) (\$5,000,000 settlement); *In re Magma Design Automation, Inc. Securities Litigation*, Case No. 05-2394 (N.D. Cal.) (\$13,500,000 settlement); *Kelly v. Phiten USA, Inc.*, Case No. 11-67 (S.D. Iowa) (\$3,200,000 settlement plus injunctive relief); *Esslinger, et al. v. HSBC Bank Nevada, N.A.*, Case No. 10-03213 (E.D. Pa.) (\$23,500,000 settlement); *In re Discover Payment Protection Plan Marketing and Sales Practices Litigation*, Case No. 10-06994 (\$10,500,000 settlement); *In Re: Bank of America Credit Protection Marketing and Sales Practices Litigation*, Case No. 11-md-02269 (N.D. Cal.) (\$20,000,000 settlement). *Castillo, et al., v. Seagate Technology LLC*, Case No. 16-01958 (N.D. Cal.) (settlement provides up to \$3,500 to class members); *Small v. University Medical Center of Southern Nevada*, Case No. 13-00298 (D. Nev.) (\$4,250,000.000 settlement); *Reniger, et al., v. Hyundai Motor America, et al.*, Case No. 14-03612 (N.D. Cal.) (no cap reimbursement program and free software update); *In re: Michaels Stores, Inc. Fair Credit Reporting Act Litigation*, Case no. 15-05504 (D. N.J.) (\$4 million settlement).

MATTHEW M. HOUSTON, a partner in the firm's New York office, has substantial courtroom experience involving complex actions in federal and state courts throughout the country. Mr. Houston was co-lead trial counsel in one the few ERISA class action cases taken to trial asserting breach of fiduciary duty claims against plan fiduciaries, *Brieger et al. v. Tellabs, Inc.*, No. 06-CV-01882 (N.D. Ill.), and has successfully prosecuted many ERISA actions, including *In re Royal Ahold N.V. Securities and ERISA Litigation*, Civil Action No. 1:03-md-01539. Mr. Houston has

been one of the principal attorneys litigating claims in multi-district litigation concerning employment classification of pickup and delivery drivers and primarily responsible for prosecuting ERISA class claims resulting in a \$242,000,000 settlement; *In re FedEx Ground Package Inc. Employment Practices Litigation*, No. 3:05-MD-527 (MDL 1700). Mr. Houston recently presented argument before the Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals on behalf of a class of Florida pickup and delivery drivers obtaining a reversal of the lower court's grant of summary judgment. Mr. Houston represented the interests of Nevada and Arkansas drivers employed by FedEx Ground obtaining significant recoveries on their behalf. Mr. Houston also served as lead counsel in multi-district class litigation seeking to modify insurance claims handling practices; *In re UnumProvident Corp. ERISA Benefits Denial Actions*, No. 1:03-cv-1000 (MDL 1552).

Mr. Houston has played a principal role in numerous derivative and class actions wherein substantial benefits were conferred upon plaintiffs: *In re: Groupon Derivative Litigation*, No. 12-cv-5300 (N.D. Ill. 2012) (settlement of consolidated derivative action resulting in sweeping corporate governance reform estimated at \$159 million) *Bangari v. Lesnik, et al.*, No. 11 CH 41973 (Illinois Circuit Court, County of Cook) (settlement of claim resulting in payment of \$20 million to Career Education Corporation and implementation of extensive corporate governance reform); *In re Diamond Foods, Inc. Shareholder Litigation*, No. CGC-11-515895 (California Superior Court, County of San Francisco) (\$10.4 million in monetary relief including a \$5.4 million clawback of executive compensation and significant corporate governance reform).

Mr. Houston graduated from Boston University School of Law in 1988 and has been selected as a New York Metro Super Lawyer often. Mr. Houston is a member of the Bar of the State of New York and is admitted to the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York and the District of Massachusetts, and the Second, Seventh, Ninth, and Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals of the United States.

JASON L. KRAJCER is a partner in the firm's Los Angeles office. He specializes in complex securities cases and has extensive experience in all phases of litigation (fact investigation, pre-trial motion practice, discovery, trial, appeal).

Prior to joining the Firm, Mr. Krajcer was an associate at Goodwin Procter LLP where he represented issuers, officers and directors in multi-hundred million and billion dollar securities cases. He began his legal career at Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP, where he represented issuers, officers and directors in securities class actions, shareholder derivative actions, and matters before the U.S. Securities & Exchange Commission.

Mr. Krajcer is admitted to the State Bar of California, the Bar of the District of Columbia, the United States Supreme Court, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, and the United States District Courts for the Central and Southern Districts of California.

CHARLES H. LINEHAN is a partner in the Firm's Los Angeles office. He graduated summa cum laude from the University of California, Los Angeles with a Bachelor of Arts degree in Philosophy and a minor in Mathematics. Mr. Linehan received his Juris Doctor degree from the UCLA School of Law, where he was a member of the UCLA Moot Court Honors Board. While attending law school, Mr. Linehan participated in the school's First Amendment Amicus Brief Clinic (now the Scott & Cyan Banister First Amendment Clinic) where he worked with nationally recognized scholars and civil rights organizations to draft amicus briefs on various Free Speech issues.

GREGORY B. LINKH litigates antitrust, securities, shareholder derivative, and consumer cases. Mr. Linkh graduated from the State University of New York at Binghamton in 1996 and from the University of Michigan Law School in 1999. While in law school, Greg externed with United States District Judge Gerald E. Rosen of the Eastern District of Michigan. Greg was previously associated with the law firms Dewey Ballantine LLP, Pomerantz Haudek Block Grossman & Gross LLP, and Murray Frank LLP.

Mr. Linkh played significant roles in *In re Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. Research Reports Securities Litigation* (\$125 million settlement); *In re Crompton Corp. Securities Litigation* (\$11 million settlement); *Lowry v. Andrx Corp.* (\$8 million settlement); *In re Xybernaut Corp. Securities MDL Litigation* (\$6.3 million settlement). Mr. Linkh also represented the West Virginia Investment Management Board in *WVIMB v. Residential Accredited Loans, Inc., et al.*, relating to the its investment in residential mortgage-backed securities.

Greg is the co-author of *Inherent Risk In Securities Cases In The Second Circuit*, NEW YORK LAW JOURNAL (Aug. 26, 2004); and *Staying Derivative Action Pursuant to PSLRA and SLUSA*, NEW YORK LAW JOURNAL, P. 4, COL. 4 (Oct. 21, 2005).

BRIAN MURRAY is the head of the Firm's Antitrust Practice Group. Mr. Murray was on the trial team that prosecuted a securities fraud case under Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 against Microdyne Corporation in the Eastern District of Virginia and he was also on the trial team that presented a claim under Section 14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 against Artek Systems Corporation and Dynatach Group which settled midway through the trial.

Mr. Murray's major cases include *In re Horsehead Holding Corp. Sec. Litig.*, No. 16-cv-292, 2018 WL 4838234 (D. Del. Oct. 4, 2018) (recommending denial of motion to dismiss securities fraud claims where company's generic cautionary statements failed to adequately warn of known problems); *In re Deutsche Bank Sec. Litig.*, --- F.R.D. ---, 2018 WL 4771525 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 2, 2018) (granting class certification for Securities Act claims and rejecting defendants' argument that class representatives' trading profits made them atypical class members); *In re Eagle Bldg. Tech. Sec. Litig.*, 221 F.R.D. 582 (S.D. Fla. 2004), 319 F. Supp. 2d 1318 (S.D. Fla. 2004) (complaint against auditor sustained due to magnitude and nature of fraud; no allegations of a "tip-off" were necessary); *In re Turkcell Iletisim A.S. Sec. Litig.*, 209 F.R.D. 353 (S.D.N.Y. 2002) (defining standards by which investment advisors have standing to sue); *In re Turkcell Iletisim A.S. Sec. Litig.*, 202 F. Supp. 2d 8 (S.D.N.Y. 2001) (liability found for false statements in prospectus concerning churn rates); *Feiner v. SS&C Tech., Inc.*, 11 F. Supp. 2d 204 (D. Conn. 1998) (qualified independent underwriters held liable for pricing of offering); *Malone v. Microdyne Corp.*, 26 F.3d 471 (4th Cir. 1994) (reversal of directed verdict for defendants); and *Adair v. Bristol Tech. Systems, Inc.*, 179 F.R.D. 126 (S.D.N.Y. 1998) (aftermarket purchasers have standing under section 11 of the Securities Act of 1933). Mr. Murray also prevailed on an issue of first impression in the Superior Court of Massachusetts, in *Cambridge Biotech Corp. v. Deloitte and Touche LLP*, in which the court applied the doctrine of continuous representation for statute of limitations purposes to accountants for the first time in Massachusetts. 6 Mass. L. Rptr. 367 (Mass. Super. Jan. 28, 1997). In addition, in *Adair v. Microfield Graphics, Inc.* (D. Or.), Mr. Murray settled the case for 47% of estimated damages. In the *Qiao Xing Universal Telephone* case, claimants received 120% of their recognized losses.

Mr. Murray received Bachelor of Arts and Master of Arts degrees from the University of Notre Dame in 1983 and 1986, respectively. He received a Juris Doctor degree, *cum laude*, from St. John's University School of Law in 1990. At St. John's, he was the Articles Editor of the ST.

JOHN'S LAW REVIEW. Mr. Murray co-wrote: *Jurisdição Estrangeira Tem Papel Relevante Na De Fiesa De Investidores Brasileiros*, ESPAÇA JURIDICO BOVESPA (August 2008); *The Proportionate Trading Model: Real Science or Junk Science?*, 52 CLEVELAND ST. L. REV. 391 (2004-05); *The Accident of Efficiency: Foreign Exchanges, American Depository Receipts, and Space Arbitrage*, 51 BUFFALO L. REV. 383 (2003); *You Shouldn't Be Required To Plead More Than You Have To Prove*, 53 BAYLOR L. REV. 783 (2001); *He Lies, You Die: Criminal Trials, Truth, Perjury, and Fairness*, 27 NEW ENGLAND J. ON CIVIL AND CRIMINAL CONFINEMENT 1 (2001); *Subject Matter Jurisdiction Under the Federal Securities Laws: The State of Affairs After Itoba*, 20 MARYLAND J. OF INT'L L. AND TRADE 235 (1996); *Determining Excessive Trading in Option Accounts: A Synthetic Valuation Approach*, 23 U. DAYTON L. REV. 316 (1997); *Loss Causation Pleading Standard*, NEW YORK LAW JOURNAL (Feb. 25, 2005); *The PSLRA 'Automatic Stay' of Discovery*, NEW YORK LAW JOURNAL (March 3, 2003); and *Inherent Risk In Securities Cases In The Second Circuit*, NEW YORK LAW JOURNAL (Aug. 26, 2004). He also authored *Protecting The Rights of International Clients in U.S. Securities Class Action Litigation*, INTERNATIONAL LITIGATION NEWS (Sept. 2007); *Lifting the PSLRA "Automatic Stay" of Discovery*, 80 N. DAK. L. REV. 405 (2004); *Aftermarket Purchaser Standing Under § 11 of the Securities Act of 1933*, 73 ST. JOHN'S L. REV. 633 (1999); *Recent Rulings Allow Section 11 Suits By Aftermarket Securities Purchasers*, NEW YORK LAW JOURNAL (Sept. 24, 1998); and *Comment, Weissmann v. Freeman: The Second Circuit Errs in its Analysis of Derivative Copy-rights by Joint Authors*, 63 ST. JOHN'S L. REV. 771 (1989).

Mr. Murray has been a panelist at CLEs sponsored by the Federal Bar Council and the Institute for Law and Economic Policy, at the German-American Lawyers Association Annual Meeting in Frankfurt, Germany, and is a frequent lecturer before institutional investors in Europe and South America on the topic of class actions.

NATALIE S. PANG has advocated on behalf of thousands of consumers during her career. Ms. Pang has extensive experience in case management and all facets of litigation: from a case's inception through the discovery process--including taking and defending depositions and preparing witnesses for depositions and trial--mediation and settlement negotiations, pretrial motion work, trial and post-trial motion work.

Prior to joining the Firm, Ms. Pang lead the mass torts department of her last firm, where she managed the cases of over two thousand individual clients. There, Ms. Pang worked on a wide variety of complex state and federal matters which included cases involving pharmaceutical drugs, medical devices, auto defects, toxic torts, false advertising, and uninhabitable conditions. Ms. Pang was also trial counsel in the notable case, *Celestino Acosta et al. v. City of Long Beach et al.* (BC591412) which was brought on behalf of residents of a mobile home park built on a former trash dump and resulted in a \$39.5 million verdict after an eleven-week jury trial in Los Angeles Superior Court.

Ms. Pang received her J.D. from Loyola Law School. While in law school, Ms. Pang received a Top 10 Brief Award as a Scott Moot Court competitor, was chosen to be a member of the Scott Moot Court Honor's Board, and competed as a member of the National Moot Court Team. Ms. Pang was also a Staffer and subsequently an Editor for Loyola's Entertainment Law Review as well as a Loyola Writing Tutor. During law school, Ms. Pang served as an extern for: the Hon. Rolf Treu (Los Angeles Superior Court), the Los Angeles City Attorney's Office, and the Federal Public Defender's Office. Ms. Pang obtained her undergraduate degree from the University of Southern California and worked in the healthcare industry prior to pursuing her career in law.

ROBERT V. PRONGAY as Co-Chair of the Firm's securities litigation practice group, focuses on the investigation, initiation, and prosecution of complex securities cases on behalf of institutional and individual investors. Mr. Prongay's practice concentrates on actions to recover investment losses resulting from violations of the federal securities laws and various actions to vindicate shareholder rights in response to corporate and fiduciary misconduct.

Mr. Prongay has extensive experience litigating complex cases in state and federal courts nationwide. Since joining the Firm, Mr. Prongay has successfully recovered millions of dollars for investors victimized by securities fraud and has negotiated the implementation of significant corporate governance reforms aimed at preventing the recurrence of corporate wrongdoing.

Mr. Prongay was recently recognized as one of thirty lawyers included in the Daily Journal's list of Top Plaintiffs Lawyers in California for 2017. Several of Mr. Prongay's cases have received national and regional press coverage. Mr. Prongay has been interviewed by journalists and writers for national and industry publications, ranging from *The Wall Street Journal* to the *Los Angeles Daily Journal*. Mr. Prongay has appeared as a guest on Bloomberg Television where he was interviewed about the securities litigation stemming from the high-profile initial public offering of Facebook, Inc.

Mr. Prongay received his Bachelor of Arts degree in Economics from the University of Southern California and his Juris Doctor degree from Seton Hall University School of Law. Mr. Prongay is also an alumnus of the Lawrenceville School.

DANIELLA QUITT has focused her practice on shareholder rights, securities class actions, and ERISA class actions but also handles general commercial and consumer litigation. Ms. Quitt serves as a member of the S.D.N.Y. ADR Panel and has been consistently selected as a New York Metro Super Lawyer.

Ms. Quitt has extensive experience in successfully litigating complex class actions from inception to trial and has played a significant role in numerous actions wherein substantial benefits were conferred upon plaintiff shareholders, such as *In re Safety-Kleen Corp. Stockholders Litigation*, (D.S.C.) (settlement fund of \$44.5 million); *In re Laidlaw Stockholders Litigation*, (D.S.C.) (settlement fund of \$24 million); *In re UNUMProvident Corp. Securities Litigation*, (D. Me.) (settlement fund of \$45 million); *In re Harnischfeger Industries* (E.D. Wisc.) (settlement fund of \$10.1 million); *In re Oxford Health Plans, Inc. Derivative Litigation*, (S.D.N.Y.) (settlement benefit of \$13.7 million and corporate therapeutics); *In re JWP Inc. Securities Litigation*, (S.D.N.Y.) (settlement fund of \$37 million); *In re Home Shopping Network, Inc., Derivative Litigation*, (S.D. Fla.) (settlement benefit in excess of \$20 million); *In re Graham-Field Health Products, Inc. Securities Litigation*, (S.D.N.Y.) (settlement fund of \$5.65 million); *Benjamin v. Carusona*, (E.D.N.Y.) (prosecuted action on behalf of minority shareholders which resulted in a change of control from majority-controlled management at Gurney's Inn Resort & Spa Ltd.); *In re Rexel Shareholder Litigation*, (Sup. Ct. N.Y. County) (settlement benefit in excess of \$38 million); *Jacobs v. Verizon Communications* (S.D.N.Y.) (ERISA settlement of \$30 million); and *Croyden Assoc. v. Tesoro Petroleum Corp., et al.*, (Del. Ch.) (settlement benefit of \$19.2 million).

In connection with the settlement of *Alessi v. Beracha*, (Del. Ch.), a class action brought on behalf of the former minority shareholders of Earthgrains, Chancellor Chandler commented: "I give credit where credit is due, Ms. Quitt. You did a good job and got a good result, and you should be proud of it."

Ms. Quitt graduated from Fordham University School of Law in 1988, is a member of the Bar of the State of New York, and is also admitted to the United States District Courts for the Southern

and Eastern Districts of New York, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second, Fifth, and Ninth Circuits, and the United States Supreme Court.

PAVITHRA RAJESH is a partner in the firm's Los Angeles office. She specializes in fact discovery, including pre-litigation investigation, and develops legal theories in securities, derivative, and privacy-related matters.

Ms. Rajesh has unique writing experience from her judicial externship for the Patent Pilot Program in the United States District Court for the Central District of California, where she worked closely with the Clerk and judges in the program on patent cases. Drawing from this experience, Ms. Rajesh is passionate about expanding the firm's Intellectual Property practice, and she engages with experts to understand complex technology in a wide range of patents, including network security and videogame electronics.

Ms. Rajesh graduated from University of California, Santa Barbara with a Bachelor of Science degree in Mathematics and a Bachelor of Arts degree in Psychology. She received her Juris Doctor degree from UCLA School of Law. While in law school, Ms. Rajesh was an Associate Editor for the UCLA Law Review.

JONATHAN M. ROTTER leads the Firm's intellectual property litigation practice and has extensive experience in class action litigation, including in the fields of data privacy, digital content, securities, consumer protection, and antitrust. His cases often involve technical and scientific issues, and he excels at the critical skill of understanding and organizing complex subject matter in a way helpful to judges, juries, and ultimately, the firm's clients. Since joining the firm, he has played a key role in cases recovering over \$100 million. He handles cases on contingency, partial contingency, and hourly bases, and works collaboratively with other lawyers and law firms across the country.

Before joining the firm, Mr. Rotter served for three years as the first Patent Pilot Program Law Clerk at the United States District Court for the Central District of California, both in Los Angeles and Orange County. There, he assisted the Honorable S. James Otero, Andrew J. Guilford, George H. Wu, John A. Kronstadt, and Beverly Reid O'Connell with hundreds of patent cases in every major field of technology, from complaint to post-trial motions, advised on case management strategy, and organized and provided judicial education. Mr. Rotter also served as a law clerk for the Honorable Milan D. Smith, Jr. on the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, working on the full range of matters handled by the Circuit.

Before his service to the courts, Mr. Rotter practiced at an international law firm, where he argued appeals at the Federal Circuit, Ninth Circuit, and California Court of Appeal, tried cases, argued motions, and managed all aspects of complex litigation. He also served as a volunteer criminal prosecutor for the Los Angeles City Attorney's Office.

Mr. Rotter graduated with honors from Harvard Law School in 2004. He served as an editor of the Harvard Journal of Law & Technology, was a Fellow in Law and Economics at the John M. Olin Center for Law, Economics, and Business at Harvard Law School, and a Fellow in Justice, Welfare, and Economics at the Harvard University Weatherhead Center For International Affairs. He graduated with honors from the University of California, San Diego in 2000 with a B.S. in molecular biology and a B.A. in music.

Mr. Rotter served on the Merit Selection Panel for Magistrate Judges in the Central District of California, and served on the Model Patent Jury Instructions and Model Patent Local Rules

subcommittees of the American Intellectual Property Law Association. He has written extensively on intellectual property issues, and has been honored for his work with legal service organizations. He is admitted to practice in California and before the United States Courts of Appeals for the First, Second, Ninth and Federal Circuits, the United States District Courts for the Northern, Central, and Southern Districts of California, and the United States Patent & Trademark Office.

KEVIN F. RUF graduated from the University of California at Berkeley with a Bachelor of Arts in Economics and earned his Juris Doctor degree from the University of Michigan. He was an associate at the Los Angeles firm Manatt Phelps and Phillips from 1988 until 1992, where he specialized in commercial litigation. In 1993, he joined the firm Corbin & Fitzgerald (with future federal district court Judge Michael Fitzgerald) specializing in white collar criminal defense work.

Mr. Ruf joined the Firm in 2001 and works on a diverse range of trial and appellate cases; he is also head of the Firm's Labor practice. Mr. Ruf has successfully argued a number of important appeals, including in the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals. He has twice argued cases before the California Supreme Court – winning both.

In *Smith v. L'Oreal* (2006), after Mr. Ruf's winning arguments, the California Supreme Court established a fundamental right of all California workers to immediate payment of all earnings at the conclusion of their employment.

Mr. Ruf gave the winning oral argument in one of the most talked about and wide-reaching California Supreme Court cases of recent memory: *Lee v. Dynamex* (2018). The Dynamex decision altered 30 years of California law and established a new definition of employment that brings more workers within the protections of California's Labor Code. The California legislature was so impressed with the Dynamex result that promulgated AB5, a statute to formalize this new definition of employment and expand its reach.

Mr. Ruf has been named three times as one of the Daily Journal's "Top 75 Employment Lawyers." He won the prestigious California Lawyer of the Year (CLAY) award in 2019 for his work on the *Dynamex* case. In 2021, Mr. Ruf was named by California's legal paper of record, the Daily Journal, as one of 18 California "Lawyers of the Decade."

Since 2014, Mr. Ruf has been an elected member of the Ojai Unified School District Board of Trustees. Mr. Ruf was also a Main Company Member of the world-famous Groundlings improv and sketch comedy troupe – where "everyone else got famous."

BENJAMIN I. SACHS-MICHAELS, is the head of the Firm's shareholder derivative group. With over 12 years in experience, Mr. Sachs-Michaels prosecutes mismanagement and breach of fiduciary duty claims in state and federal courts nationwide. He specializes in helping shareholders strengthen their investments by holding managers accountable when they permit corporate misconduct. Mr. Sachs-Michaels has achieved shareholder derivative settlements totaling hundreds of millions of dollars and the adoption of significant corporate governance reforms at dozens of public companies.

Mr. Sachs-Michaels played an integral part in achieving recoveries in the following derivative class actions on behalf of investors: *Witchko v. Schorsch, et al.* (\$286.5 million settlement); *Verma v. Costolo (Twitter)* (\$46.75 million settlement); and *In re Stamps.com, Inc. Stockholder Derivative Litigation* (\$30 million settlement).

Mr. Sachs-Michaels graduated from Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law in 2011. While in law school, he served as a judicial intern to Senior United States District Judge Thomas J. McAvoy in the United States District Court for the Northern District of New York and was a member of the Cardozo Journal of Conflict Resolution.

Mr. Sachs-Michaels is a member of the Bar of the State of New York. He is also admitted to the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York and the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit.

CASEY E. SADLER works with the Firm's clients to secure lead plaintiff appointment in hundreds of securities class actions. Additionally, with over 14 years litigating securities class actions, Casey has extensive experience litigating all aspects of securities cases, including legal briefing and oral advocacy. He has overseen numerous cases from start to finish that resulted in tremendous outcomes for shareholders. Notable successes include: *The City of Farmington Hills Employees Retirement System v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.* (\$62.5 million settlement on eve of trial); *In re Sesen Bio, Inc. Securities Litigation*, (\$21 million settlement).

After graduating from the University of Southern California, Gould School of Law, Mr. Sadler joined the Firm in 2010. While attending law school, Mr. Sadler externed for the Enforcement Division of the Securities and Exchange Commission, spent a summer working for P.H. Parekh & Co. – one of the leading appellate law firms in New Delhi, India – and was a member of USC's Hale Moot Court Honors Program.

Mr. Sadler is admitted to the State Bar of California and the United States District Courts for the Northern, Southern, and Central Districts of California.

EX KANO S. SAMS II has extensive experience litigating complex securities and consumer cases and has served as lead counsel in dozens of securities class actions and complex litigation cases on the state and federal levels throughout the United States. Mr. Sams was one of the counsel for respondents in *Cyan, Inc. v. Beaver Cnty. Emps. Ret. Fund*, 583 U.S. 416 (2018), in which the United States Supreme Court ruled unanimously in favor of respondents, holding that: (1) the Securities Litigation Uniform Standards Act of 1998 ("SLUSA") does not strip state courts of jurisdiction over class actions alleging violations of only the Securities Act of 1933; and (2) SLUSA does not empower defendants to remove such actions from state to federal court. Mr. Sams also participated in a successful appeal before a Fifth Circuit panel that included former United States Supreme Court Justice Sandra Day O'Connor sitting by designation, in which the court unanimously vacated the lower court's denial of class certification, reversed the lower court's grant of summary judgment, and issued an important decision on the issue of loss causation in securities litigation: *Alaska Electrical Pension Fund v. Flowserve Corp.*, 572 F.3d 221 (5th Cir. 2009). The case settled for \$55 million.

Mr. Sams has also obtained other significant results. Notable examples include: *Donley v. Live Nation Ent., Inc.*, 2024 WL 794641 (C.D. Cal. Feb. 23, 2024) (denying motion to dismiss; case settled for \$20 million); *Beezley v. Fenix Parts, Inc.*, 2018 WL 3454490 (N.D. Ill. July 13, 2018) (denying motion to dismiss); *In re Flowers Foods, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, 2018 WL 1558558 (M.D. Ga. Mar. 23, 2018) (largely denying motion to dismiss; case settled for \$21 million); *In re King Digital Entm't plc S'holder Litig.*, No. CGC-15-544770 (San Francisco Superior Court) (case settled for \$18.5 million); *In re Castlight Health, Inc. S'holder Litig.*, Lead Case No. CIV533203 (California Superior Court, County of San Mateo) (case settled for \$9.5 million); *Wiley v. Envivio, Inc.*, Master File No. CIV517185 (California Superior Court, County of San Mateo) (case settled for \$8.5

million); *In re CafePress Inc. S'holder Litig.*, Master File No. CIV522744 (California Superior Court, County of San Mateo) (case settled for \$8 million).

Mr. Sams has been an author or co-author of several articles in major legal publications, including “9th Circuit Decision Clarifies Securities Fraud Loss Causation Rule” published in the February 8, 2018 issue of the *Daily Journal*, and “Market Efficiency in the World of High-Frequency Trading” published in the December 26, 2017 issue of the *Daily Journal*.

Mr. Sams earned his Bachelor of Arts degree in Political Science from the University of California Los Angeles and his Juris Doctor degree from the University of California Los Angeles School of Law, where he served as a member of the *UCLA Law Review*. After law school, Mr. Sams practiced class action civil rights litigation on behalf of plaintiffs.

LEANNE HEINE SOLISH has extensive experience litigating complex securities cases in federal courts nationwide. Since joining the Firm in 2012, Ms. Solish has helped secure several large class action settlements for injured investors: *The City of Farmington Hills Employees Retirement System v. Wells Fargo Bank*, Case No. 10-4372--DWF/JJG (D. Minn.) (\$62.5 million settlement on behalf of participants in Wells Fargo’s securities lending program. The settlement was reached on the eve of trial and ranked among the largest recoveries achieved in a securities lending class action stemming from the 2008 financial crisis.); *Mild v. PPG Industries, Inc. et al.*, Case No. 2:18-cv-04231 (C.D. Cal.) (\$25 million settlement); *In re Penn West Petroleum Ltd. Securities Litigation*, Case No. 1:14-cv-06046-JGK (S.D.N.Y.) (\$19 million settlement for the U.S. shareholder class as part of a \$39 million global settlement); *In re ITT Educational Services, Inc. Securities Litigation (Indiana)*, Case No. 1:14-cv-01599-TWP-DML (\$12.5375 million settlement); *In re Doral Financial Corporation Securities Litigation*, Case No. 3:14-cv-01393-GAG (D.P.R.) (\$7 million settlement); *Larson v. Insys Therapeutics Incorporated, et al.*, Lead Case No. 14-cv-01043-PHX-GMS (D. Ariz.) (\$6.125 million settlement); *In re Unilife Corporation Securities Litigation*, Case No. 1:16-cv-03976-RA (\$4.4 million settlement).

Super Lawyers Magazine selected Ms. Solish as a “Rising Star” in the area of Securities Litigation from 2016 through 2019.

Ms. Solish graduated *summa cum laude* with a B.S.M. in Accounting and Finance from Tulane University, where she was a member of the Beta Alpha Psi honors accounting organization and was inducted into the Beta Gamma Sigma Business Honors Society. Ms. Solish subsequently earned her J.D. from the University of Texas School of Law.

Ms. Solish is admitted to the State Bar of California, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, and the United States District Courts for the Central, Northern, and Southern Districts of California. Ms. Solish is also a Registered Certified Public Accountant in Illinois.

GARTH A. SPENCER helps defrauded investors recover funds through securities litigation, and also represents whistleblowers and harmed consumers. Since joining GPM in 2016, he has successfully prosecuted complex class action claims to obtain recoveries for the Firm’s clients, taking leading roles in matters including: *In re Reconnaissance Energy Africa Ltd. Securities Litigation* (E.D.N.Y. Case No. 1:21-cv-6176) (CAD \$9.4 million settlement); *In re Stable Road Acquisition Corp. Securities Litigation* (C.D. Cal. Case No. 2:21-cv-5744) (\$8.5 million settlement); and *In re XL Fleet Corp. Securities Litigation* (S.D.N.Y. Case No. 1:21-cv-2002) (\$19.5 million settlement).

Mr. Spencer received his J.D. from Duke University School of Law, an L.L.M. from New York University School of Law, and a B.A. from Grinnell College. Prior to joining the Firm, Mr. Spencer

worked in the tax group of a large law firm, and pursued IRS whistleblower matters as a sole practitioner.

Mr. Spencer is a member of the bar in New York, California, and North Carolina, and is admitted to practice before the United States District Courts for the Southern, Eastern, and Western Districts of New York, the Northern, Southern, and Central Districts of California, the Eastern, Middle, and Western Districts of North Carolina and the Court of Appeals for the First, Second and Ninth Circuits.

DAVID J. STONE has a broad background in complex commercial litigation, with particular focus on litigating corporate fiduciary claims, securities, and contract matters. Mr. Stone maintains a versatile practice in state and federal courts, representing clients in a wide-range of matters, including corporate derivative actions, securities class actions, litigating claims arising from master limited partnership “drop down” transactions, litigating consumer class actions (including data breach claims) litigating complex debt instruments, fraudulent conveyance actions, and appeals. Mr. Stone also has developed a specialized practice in litigation on behalf of post-bankruptcy confirmation trusts, including investigating and prosecuting D&O claims and general commercial litigation. In addition, Mr. Stone counsels clients on general business matters, including contract negotiation and corporate organization.

Mr. Stone graduated from Boston University School of Law in 1994 and was the Law Review Editor. He earned his B.A. at Tufts University in 1988, graduating *cum laude*. Following law school, Mr. Stone served as a clerk to the Honorable Joseph Tauro, then Chief Judge of the U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts. Prior to joining the Firm, Mr. Stone practiced at international law firms Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP, Morrison & Foerster LLP, and Greenberg Traurig LLP.

Mr. Stone is a member of the bar in New York and California, and is admitted to practice before the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, the Northern, Southern, and Central Districts of California, and the Court of Appeals for the Second and Third Circuits.

RAY D. SULENTIC litigates securities fraud, data privacy, and consumer fraud class actions. He also represents individuals in connection with the firm’s SEC, CFTC, and qui tam whistleblower practice areas.

Before joining the Firm, Mr. Sulentic worked extensively with financial markets as an institutional investor. His investment experience includes serving as a special situations (merger arbitrage) analyst at UBS O’Connor LLC, a multi-billion-dollar hedge fund in Chicago; and as a sell-side equity and commodity analyst for Bear Stearns & Co. Inc. in New York. While at Bear Stearns, Mr. Sulentic’s investment analysis was featured in Barron’s.

Following his career on Wall Street, Mr. Sulentic practiced law at DLA Piper LLP in San Diego, where he worked on securities litigation and corporate governance matters, and represented public companies facing investigations or inquiries by the SEC.

Since joining GPM, Mr. Sulentic has helped his clients successfully obtain significant settlements, including in complex accounting and securities fraud matters. He has argued and won in state and federal court, including before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals in *In re Genius Brands Int’l, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, 97 F.4th 1171 (9th Cir. 2024).

Mr. Sulentic’s relevant legal experience includes:

- Represented lead plaintiffs in *In re Eros International PLC Securities Litigation*, 2:19-cv-14125-JMV-JSA (D.N.J.), a securities class action alleging violations of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (\$25 million settlement).
- Represented lead plaintiffs in *Shen v. Exela Technologies Inc. et al.*, 3:20-cv-00691 (N.D. Tex.), a securities class action alleging violations of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (\$5 million settlement).
- Represented lead plaintiffs in *In re Tintri Securities Litigation*, Case No. 17-civ-04321, San Mateo Superior Court, a securities class action alleging violations of Securities Act of 1933 (\$7.0 million settlement).
- Represented lead plaintiff in *Ivan Baron v. HyreCar Inc. et al.*, 2:21-cv-06918-FWS-JC (C.D. Cal), a securities class action alleging violations of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (\$1.9 million settlement).
- Represented plaintiff in *Valenzuela v. Hacopian Design & Development Group LLC et al.*, Case No. 37-2022-101113-CU-BT-CTL, San Diego Superior Court (Valenzuela*) a fraud, conversion, and RICO case. In *Valenzuela*, Mr. Sulentic argued and won many motions including a motion for summary judgment in his client's favor on one cause of action; a motion denying one defendant leave to amend her answer; a motion deeming his client's requests for admission admitted; and discovery sanctions against two defendants. Following a bench trial against one defendant, and a default judgment prove up hearing against two other defendants, the court in *Valenzuela* awarded Mr. Sulentic's client a combined judgment of over \$440,000, most of which was comprised of punitive damages on compensatory damages of just over \$24,000.

**Valenzuela* was a pro bono matter not litigated by the Firm, but by Mr. Sulentic in his individual capacity.

KARA M. WOLKE is Co-Chair of the Firm's securities litigation practice group and serves as the Firm's General Counsel. With nearly two decades of experience in financial class action litigation, Ms. Wolke has helped to recover hundreds of millions of dollars for injured investors and consumers.

As sole lead counsel in *In re: Alibaba Group Holding Ltd. Securities Litigation*, Case No. 20-cv-09568 (S.D.N.Y.), Ms. Wolke, along with partner Melissa Wright, secured an historic \$433.5 million settlement that resolved investors' claims that Alibaba (NYSE: BABA) violated the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 by allegedly misrepresenting its regulatory compliance and its use of monopolistic business practices during the period November 13, 2019 through December 23, 2020. The settlement is the largest securities class action settlement ever attained against a Chinese issuer and ranks among the fifty largest U.S. securities class action settlements of all time, as tracked by Institutional Shareholder Services (ISS).

Other notable cases include: *Christine Asia Co. Ltd., et al. v. Jack Yun Ma, et al.*, Case No. 15-md-02631 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$250 million securities class action settlement); *Farmington Hills Employees' Retirement System v. Wells Fargo Bank*, Case No. 10-4372 (D. Minn.) (\$62.5 million settlement on behalf of participants in Wells Fargo's securities lending program. The settlement was reached on the eve of trial and ranked among the largest recoveries achieved in a securities lending class action stemming from the 2008 financial crisis.); *Shah v. Zimmer Biomet Holdings, Inc.*, Case No. 16-cv-00815 (N.D. Inc.) (\$50 million securities class action settlement); *Schleicher, et al. v. Wendt, et al.* (Conseco), Case No. 02-cv-1332 (S.D. Ind.) (\$41.5 million securities class

action settlement); *Lapin v. Goldman Sachs*, Case No. 03-850 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$29 million securities class action settlement); *Davis v. Yelp, Inc.*, Case No. 18-cv-0400 (N.D. Cal) (\$22.5 million securities class action settlement).

Ms. Wolke has been named a Super Lawyers “Rising Star,” and her work on behalf of investors has earned her recognition as a LawDragon Leading Plaintiff Financial Lawyer during each year from 2019 through 2024.

With a background in intellectual property, Ms. Wolke was a part of the team of lawyers who successfully challenged the claim of copyright ownership to the song “*Happy Birthday to You*” on behalf of artists and filmmakers who had been forced to pay hefty licensing fees to publicly sing the world’s most famous song. In the resolution of that action, the defendant music publishing company funded a settlement of \$14 million and, significantly, agreed to relinquish the song to the public domain. Previously, Ms. Wolke penned an article regarding the failure of U.S. Copyright Law to provide an important public performance right in sound recordings, 7 Vand. J. Ent. L. & Prac. 411, which was nationally recognized and received an award by the American Bar Association and the Grammy® Foundation.

Committed to the provision of legal services to the poor, disadvantaged, and other vulnerable or disenfranchised individuals and groups, Ms. Wolke also oversees the Firm’s *pro bono practice*. She currently serves as a volunteer attorney for KIND (Kids In Need of Defense), representing unaccompanied immigrant and refugee children in custody and deportation proceedings, and helping them to secure legal permanent residency status in the U.S.

Ms. Wolke graduated *summa cum laude* with a Bachelor of Science in Economics from The Ohio State University in 2001. She subsequently earned her J.D. *with honors* from Ohio State, where she received the Dean’s Award for Excellence during each of her three years.

MELISSA WRIGHT is a partner in the firm’s Los Angeles office. Ms. Wright specializes in complex litigation, particularly the prosecution of securities fraud and consumer class actions. Ms. Wright is experienced in all facets of litigation with particular expertise in the fact and expert discovery phases of litigation, including preservation through document production as well as negotiating protocols governing confidentiality and electronically stored information, identifying relevant electronically stored information, and overseeing and implementing e-discovery data culling and privilege and responsiveness reviews.

As sole lead counsel in *In re: Alibaba Group Holding Ltd. Securities Litigation*, Case No. 20-cv-09568 (S.D.N.Y.), Ms. Wright, along with partner Kara Wolke, secured an historic \$433.5 million settlement that resolved investors’ claims that Alibaba (NYSE: BABA) violated the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 by allegedly misrepresenting its regulatory compliance and its use of monopolistic business practices during the period November 13, 2019 through December 23, 2020. The settlement is the largest securities class action settlement ever attained against a Chinese issuer and ranks among the fifty largest U.S. securities class action settlements of all time, as tracked by Institutional Shareholder Services (ISS).

Ms. Wright also played an integral role on the Firm’s litigation team in *Christine Asia Ltd. v. Jack Yun Ma, et al.* (Alibaba Group Holding Ltd.) (\$250 million settlement), and in particular was responsible for all facets of discovery strategy and management for the Firm. Ms. Wright also played a significant role in other notable recoveries including: *In re Yahoo! Inc. Securities Litigation* (\$80 million settlement); *In re Sesen Bio, Inc. Securities Litigation* (\$21 million settlement); *In re Flowers Foods, Inc. Securities Litigation* (\$21 million settlement); *In re Romeo*

Power Inc. Securities Litigation (\$14.9 million settlement); *In re Tenaris S.A. Securities Litigation* (\$9.5 million settlement).

In addition to her advocacy on behalf of aggrieved investors and consumers, Ms. Wright maintains an active pro bono practice as a volunteer attorney with Kids In Need of Defense, where she works diligently to help safeguard the rights and well-being of immigrant and refugee children.

Ms. Wright graduated with a B.A. from Boston University and received her J.D. from U.C. Davis School of Law, where she was a board member of the Tax Law Society and externed for the California Board of Equalization's Tax Appeals Assistance Program. Ms. Wright also received her LL.M. in Taxation from NYU School of Law.

OF COUNSEL

PHILIP BABLER represents shareholders and whistleblowers in matters involving breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and public company shareholder derivative litigation.

Before joining the Firm, Mr. Babler practiced at an AmLaw 50 firm where he represented directors and officers in cases involving, among other things, breach of fiduciary duty claims, governance issues, M&A litigation, federal securities claims, and insider trading investigations. Mr. Babler brings insights from this experience representing directors and officers to his shareholder practice. Mr. Babler has been repeatedly recognized by *The Best Lawyers in America: Ones to Watch*®.

In addition to his public company work, Mr. Babler has significant experience representing shareholders in privately held companies who are being squeezed out of family businesses. Mr. Babler also has substantial appellate experience, having briefed and argued appeals in state and federal appellate courts around the country.

After law school, Mr. Babler clerked for Justice David T. Prosser, Jr. on the Wisconsin Supreme Court. Mr. Babler graduated from Marquette University Law School and is admitted to practice in Wisconsin, the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, the Seventh Circuit, and the Eighth Circuit, and the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin.

PETER A. BINKOW has prosecuted lawsuits on behalf of consumers and investors in state and federal courts throughout the United States. He served as Lead or Co-Lead Counsel in many class action cases, including: *In re Mercury Interactive Securities Litigation* (\$117.5 million recovery); *The City of Farmington Hills Retirement System v Wells Fargo* (\$62.5 million recovery); *Schleicher v Wendt* (Conseco Securities litigation - \$41.5 million recovery); *Lapin v Goldman Sachs* (\$29 million recovery); *In re Heritage Bond Litigation* (\$28 million recovery); *In re National Techteam Securities Litigation* (\$11 million recovery for investors); *In re Lason Inc. Securities Litigation* (\$12.68 million recovery), *In re ESC Medical Systems, Ltd. Securities Litigation* (\$17 million recovery); and many others. In *Schleicher v Wendt*, Mr. Binkow successfully argued the seminal Seventh Circuit case on class certification, in an opinion authored by Chief Judge Frank Easterbrook. He has argued and/or prepared appeals before the Ninth Circuit, Seventh Circuit, Sixth Circuit and Second Circuit Courts of Appeals.

Mr. Binkow joined the Firm in 1994. He was born on August 16, 1965 in Detroit, Michigan. Mr. Binkow obtained a Bachelor of Arts degree from the University of Michigan in 1988 and a Juris Doctor degree from the University of Southern California in 1994.

MARK S. GREENSTONE specializes in consumer, financial fraud and employment-related class actions. Possessing significant law and motion and trial experience, Mr. Greenstone has represented clients in multi-million dollar disputes in California state and federal courts, as well as the Court of Federal Claims in Washington, D.C.

Mr. Greenstone received his training as an associate at Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton LLP where he specialized in complex business litigation relating to investment management, government contracts and real estate. Upon leaving Sheppard Mullin, Mr. Greenstone founded an internet-based company offering retail items on multiple platforms nationwide. He thereafter returned to law bringing a combination of business and legal skills to his practice.

Mr. Greenstone graduated Order of the Coif from the UCLA School of Law. He also received his undergraduate degree in Political Science from UCLA, where he graduated Magna Cum Laude and was inducted into the Phi Beta Kappa honor society.

Mr. Greenstone is a member of the Consumer Attorneys Association of Los Angeles, the Santa Monica Bar Association and the Beverly Hills Bar Association. He is admitted to practice in state and federal courts throughout California.

ROBERT I. HARWOOD, as Of Counsel, has prosecuted numerous securities, class, derivative, and ERISA actions. He is a member of the Trial Lawyers' Section of the New York State Bar Association and has served as a guest lecturer at trial advocacy programs sponsored by the Practising Law Institute. He is also a Member of the Board of Directors of the MFY Legal Services Inc., which provides free legal representation in civil matters to the poor and the mentally ill in New York City. Since 1999, Mr. Harwood has also served as an Associate Justice for the Village of Dobbs Ferry, New York.

Mr. Harwood served as lead counsel in *Morse v. McWhorter* (Columbia/HCA Healthcare Securities Litigation), (M.D. Tenn.), in which a settlement fund of \$49.5 million was created for the benefit of the Class, as well as *In re Bank One Securities Litigation*, (N.D. Ill.), which resulted in the creation of a \$45 million settlement fund. Mr. Harwood also served as co-lead counsel in *In re Safety-Kleen Corp. Stockholders Litigation*, (D.S.C.), which resulted in a settlement fund of \$44.5 million; *In re Laidlaw Stockholders Litigation*, (D.S.C.), which resulted in a settlement fund of \$24 million; *In re AIG ERISA Litigation*, (S.D.N.Y.), which resulted in a settlement fund of \$24.2 million; *In re JWP Inc. Securities Litigation*, (S.D.N.Y.), which resulted in a \$37 million settlement fund; *In re Oxford Health Plans, Inc. Derivative Litigation*, (S.D.N.Y.), which resulted in a settlement benefit of \$13.7 million and corporate therapeutics; and *In re UNUMProvident Corp. Securities Litigation*, (D. Me.), which resulted in the creation of settlement fund of \$45 million. Mr. Harwood was also one of the lead attorneys in litigating claims in *In re FedEx Ground Package Inc. Employment Practices Litigation*, No. 3:05-MD-527 (MDL 1700), a multi-district litigation concerning employment classification of pickup and delivery drivers which resulted in a \$242,000,000 settlement.

Mr. Harwood graduated from William and Mary Law School in 1971, and has specialized in securities law and securities litigation since beginning his career in 1972 at the Enforcement Division of the New York Stock Exchange.

TAKEO A. KELLAR is Of Counsel in the Firm's San Diego office. Mr. Kellar has significant experience in securities fraud class actions, opt-out direct actions and shareholder derivative actions on behalf of institutional and individual investors, as well as consumer class actions and other complex litigation. Mr. Kellar has been an integral member of litigation teams who

successfully prosecuted numerous securities actions that have recovered hundreds of millions of dollars for investors. His experience and strong skills in all aspects of complex and class action litigation in state, federal and appellate courts provide a valuable resource in developing and implementing redress strategies and litigating favorable resolutions for the firm's clients and class members.

Mr. Kellar is a graduate of the University of San Diego School of Law (J.D.) and the University of California, Riverside (B.A.). Mr. Kellar is admitted to practice in the State of California and before the United States District Courts for the Central, Northern and Southern Districts of California, and the Courts of Appeal for the Third and Ninth Circuits.

ERIKA SHAPIRO has extensive experience in a broad range of litigation matters. Until 2019, Ms. Shapiro's work primarily focused on complex antitrust cases involving pharmaceutical companies, and through this work, she helped successfully defend pharmaceutical companies against antitrust and unfair competition allegations, with a particular concentration on the Hatch-Waxman Act, product hopping, and reverse payment settlement allegations. As of 2019, Ms. Shapiro has represented clients in a vast array of litigation, including commercial real estate matters, with a particular focus on the global COVID-19 pandemic's impact on commercial real estate, bankruptcy matters, commercial litigation involving breach of contract, tort, trademark infringement, and trusts and estates law with a focus on will contests. Ms. Shapiro has further managed multiple cases defending physicians and hospitals against allegations of malpractice.

Ms. Shapiro is committed to the academic community, and is the Founder and CEO of Study Songs, an app aimed at helping students study for the multistate bar exam through melodies contained in over 80 original songs and through pop-up definitions of over 1200 legal terms and concepts.

Ms. Shapiro's publications include: *Third Circuit Holds, "Give Peace a Chance": The De Beers Litigation and the Potential Power of Settlement*, Jack E. Pace, III, Erika L. Shapiro, 27-SPG Antitrust 48 (2013).

Ms. Shapiro graduated from Washington University in St. Louis with a Bachelor of Arts degree. She received her Juris Doctor degree from Georgetown University Law Center. She also earned a Master's degree in Economic Global Law from Sciences-Po Universite.

SENIOR COUNSEL

CHRISTOPHER M. THOMS is Senior Discovery Counsel and his practice includes large-scale electronic discovery encompassing all stages of litigation, securities and antitrust litigation. Mr. Thoms manages attorneys in fact-finding for depositions, expert discovery, and trial preparation.

Prior to joining the Firm, Mr. Thoms worked as a staff attorney at O'Melveny & Meyers LLP where he managed eDiscovery issues in complex class actions and multi-district litigations. Mr. Thoms also worked as a contract attorney for various law firms in Los Angeles.

ASSOCIATES

REBECCA DAWSON specializes in complex civil litigation, class action securities litigation, and antitrust litigation.

Ms. Dawson previously worked at a highly respected plaintiff-side class action firm specializing in mass torts and antitrust litigation where she managed a wide variety of complex state and federal matters including false advertising, environmental torts and product liability claims.

During law school, Ms. Dawson was a clerking intern for the Chief Justice of the Court of International Trade. After law school, she clerked at the New York Supreme Court where she handled hundreds of complex commercial and civil litigation decisions. Ms. Dawson also participated in the Securities and Exchange Commission Honors program in the Office of the Investors Advocate. Prior to law school, she worked for the Brooklyn Bar Association. Ms. Dawson also has a background in financial data analysis.

Ms. Dawson earned her J.D. from City University of New York School of Law, where she was a Moot Court Competition Problem Author. She earned her B.A. from Bard College at Simon's Rock, where she majored in Political Science with a minor in Economics.

CHRIS DEL VALLE is an experienced attorney who has been a valuable member of the Firm since 2017. Mr. Del Valle has worked on a range of complex securities fraud cases, including *In re Akorn, Inc. Securities Litigation*, Case No. 15-CV-01944, (N.D. Ill.); *In re Yahoo! Inc. Securities Litigation*, Case No. 17-CV-00373-LHK (N.D. Cal.); *In re Endurance International Group Holdings*, Case No. 1:15-cv-11775-GAO; *In re LSB Industries, Inc. Securities Litigation*, Case No. 1:15-cv-07614-RA-GWG (S.D.N.Y.); *Christine Asia Ltd. v. Jack Yun Ma, et al.* (Alibaba Group Holding Ltd.), Case No. 1:15-md-02631 (S.D.N.Y.); *In re Community Health Systems Inc.*, Case No.: 3:19-cv-00461 (M.D. Tenn).

One of Mr. Del Valle's notable appellate successes was *Hartpence v. Kinetic Concepts, Inc.*, No. 19-55823 (9th Cir. 2022), alleging violations of the False Claims Act (FCA). Mr. Del Valle was part of the legal team that successfully represented a whistleblower in obtaining 9th Circuit reversal of the lower court's order granting summary judgment.

With highly technical expertise in e-discovery, Mr. Del Valle specializes in all facets of the Firm's e-discovery needs, including crafting advanced search algorithms, predictive coding, and technology-assisted review. Mr. Del Valle also has a wealth of experience in deposition preparation, expert discovery, and preparing for summary judgment and trial.

Mr. Del Valle's experience prior to joining the Firm includes trial and discovery preparation for complex corporate securities fraud litigation, patent prosecution, oral arguments, injunction hearings, trial work, mediations, drafting and negotiating contracts, depositions, and client intake.

Mr. Del Valle received a Bachelor of Arts degree from S.U.N.Y. Buffalo, majoring in English Literature/Journalism, and a Juris Doctor from California Western School of Law in San Diego.

FERNANDA GALBES has extensive experience in the discovery process of complex securities and antitrust class-action litigations. Prior to joining Glancy, Prongay & Murray, Fernanda was a contract attorney working from pre-litigation investigations through depositions and expert discovery phases on several prominent cases involving antitrust violations, securities fraud, and intellectual property disputes.

Fernanda earned her Master of Laws (LL.M.) from Arizona State University in 2014 and a Bachelor of Laws (LL.B.) from Universidade Paulista. Fluent in Portuguese and proficient in Spanish, she brings valuable insight to cases requiring precise analysis of foreign legal documents and a nuanced understanding of cultural and linguistic complexities.

LISA HOLMAN is an experienced attorney specializing in complex securities, commercial and antitrust class action litigation, with particular expertise in the discovery phase of litigation. She played an integral role on the firm's discovery teams in several notable matters *including In re Alibaba Group Holding Limited Securities Litigation*, and *Camelot Event Driven Fund v. Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC, et al.*

Lisa is a member of the firm's E-Discovery Group, advancing the firm's goal to stay on the forefront of technology & digital advancements, best practices, and strategy involving electronic discovery.

Lisa graduated from Cornell University with a Bachelor of Arts degree and received her Juris Doctor degree from The University of Michigan Law School. She is admitted to the State Bar of New York.

THOMAS J. KENNEDY works out of the New York office, where he focuses on securities, antitrust, mass torts, and consumer litigation. He received a Juris Doctor degree from St. John's University School of Law in 1995. At St. John's, he was a member of the ST. JOHN'S JOURNAL OF LEGAL COMMENTARY. Mr. Kennedy graduated from Miami University in 1992 with a Bachelor of Science degree in Accounting and has passed the CPA exam. Mr. Kennedy was previously associated with the law firm Murray Frank LLP.

HOLLY K. NYE is an associate in the firm's Los Angeles office. Her practice concentrates on data privacy and consumer fraud class action litigation.

Ms. Nye also has a background in transactional legal work, having previously worked extensively with both financial institutions and borrowers, and real estate investors and developers in connection with commercial financing and complex real estate transactions. Her experience expands to a variety of business transactions including the initial formation and development of businesses, mergers and acquisitions, and succession planning.

While in law school, Ms. Nye practiced under West Virginia Rule 10 Certification through the university's Entrepreneurship and Innovation Law Clinic where she represented clients on a variety of intellectual property matters as well as start-up clients with business formation, funding, and growth and development.

Ms. Nye earned her B.S.B.A. from West Virginia University in 2018 where she majored in Marketing. She earned both her M.B.A. from West Virginia University John Chambers College of Business and Economics and her J.D. from West Virginia University College of Law in 2022, where she was selected for the Order of Barristers for having demonstrated exceptional skill in trial advocacy, oral advocacy, and brief writing throughout her law school career. Ms. Nye is admitted to practice in California and Ohio.

AMIR A. SOLEIMANPOUR is an associate in the firm's Los Angeles office. He received his Juris Doctor from the Washington & Lee School of Law in 2024. Mr. Soleimanpour's practice includes data privacy, securities fraud, and consumer protection litigation.

Mr. Soleimanpour graduated from Tufts University in 2019 with a Bachelor of Arts in International Relations, his concentration was in International Security. At the Washington & Lee School of Law, Mr. Soleimanpour was President of the Lewis F. Powell, Jr. Distinguished Lecture Series, where he hosted Judge J. Michael Luttig for the Series' 2024 Lecture. Mr. Soleimanpour was also a finalist in the 2022 Robert J. Grey, Jr. Negotiations Competition and was awarded the law faculty's 2024 Frederic L. Kirgis, Jr. International Law Award, for excellence in international law.

ROBERT YAN is an associate specializing in international cases involving foreign language documents and foreign clients. Mr. Yan has expertise in all aspects of pre-trial litigation, including document productions, deposition preparation, deposition outlines, witness preparation, compilation of privilege logs, and translation of documents into English. He has served as team lead for various document review projects, conducted QC on large document populations, and worked with lead counsel to meet production deadlines.

Mr. Yan is a native speaker of Mandarin Chinese and fluent in Japanese. Mr. Yan has volunteered his services in the Los Angeles area including at the Elder Law Clinic and monthly APABA Pro Bono Legal Help Clinic.

EXHIBIT 4

Camelot Event Driven Fund v. Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC, et al.,
Index No. 654959/2021

LITIGATION FUND EXPENSES

EXPENSE CATEGORY	AMOUNT PAID
BANK CHARGES	\$95.02
EXPERTS	\$1,035,354.14
DTC	\$12,600.00
MEDIATION	\$126,126.25
APPELLATE PRINTING	\$17,424.84
SERVICE OF PROCESS	\$564.75
DEPOSITION AND COURT TRANSCRIPTS	\$104,020.40
Grand Total	\$1,296,185.40